



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

md

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,494	02/04/2002	Brent L. Bucks	A1-1431	3370
27127	7590	12/23/2003		
HARTMAN & HARTMAN, P.C. 552 EAST 700 NORTH VALPARAISO, IN 46383			EXAMINER HAMILTON, ISAAC N	
			ART UNIT 3724	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003	

4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/072,494

Applicant(s)

BUCKS, BRENT L.

Examiner

Isaac N Hamilton

Art Unit

3724

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Isaac N Hamilton. (3)_____.

(2) Gary M. Hartman. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 17 December 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,12,21 and 32.


Identification of prior art discussed: Frey et al '967.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed amendment to limitations after final. The Examiner asserts that guide means 140 in Frey is in contact with the food product. Applicant asserts that the food product is centered in the guide means 140, and that the food product is not pushed away from the second portion of the passage. Examiner stated that if the amendment after final raised no new issues, that it would be entered.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


Allan N. Shoap
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required